

From: [OMEALY Mikell](#)
To: [Rose Longoria](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [audiehuber@ctuir.com](#); [ANDERSON Jim M](#); [cunninghame@gorge.net](#); [exec@eiltld.net](#); [howp@critfc.org](#); [jean.lee@eiltld.net](#); [jeremy_buck@fws.gov](#); [Sylvia Kawabata/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [tomd@ctsi.nsn.us](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [joeo@nezperce.org](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#); [PETERSON Jenn L](#); [POULSEN Mike](#); [Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Curt Black/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](#); [emadden@ecoisp.com](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [jeff.baker@grandronde.org](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Ron Gouquet](#); [Chris Thompson](#); [rgensemer@parametrix.com](#)
Subject: RE: Summary of Monday's meeting - review by 4:00 on Wednesday
Date: 04/05/2006 01:05 PM
Attachments: 4.3.06.Eco-HH RA Scale Meeting Summary.doc

Hello everyone,

Thanks for the great clarifying comments you've provided on Monday's meeting summary. Attached is a revised version that incorporates comments from Rob, Jennifer, Mike and Dana, and others of you have told me verbally that the summary, with the comments from these folks, looks good to you.

I look forward to seeing comments from anyone else who provides them today. Chip, Eric and I will be reviewing the final version tomorrow to develop an agenda and issues synopsis for our meeting with LWG next Tuesday, and we'll provide a short opportunity to review those products before we send them to the LWG tomorrow.

Thanks,
Mikell

OMEALY Mikell wrote:

Attached is a draft summary of yesterday's meeting for your review. As I mentioned yesterday, please send me any suggested changes you have for this document by 4:00 p.m. tomorrow (Wednesday). Because of the short timeline, please copy all members of the group on your comments so everyone has the option of seeing what you propose. If the short review timeline poses a problem for you, please let me know.

Thanks again for your great work yesterday. I look forward to seeing you all next week.

Cheers,
Mikell

-----Original Message-----

From: OMEALY Mikell
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2006 10:56 AM
To: '[Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov](#)'; [audiehuber@ctuir.com](#); ANDERSON Jim M; [cunninghame@gorge.net](#); [exec@envintl.com](#); [howp@critfc.org](#); [jean.lee@envintl.com](#); [jeremy_buck@fws.gov](#); [Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Kawabata.Sylvia@epamail.epa.gov](#); KEPLER Rick J; [tomd@ctsi.nsn.us](#); [Lori_Cora/R10/USEPA/US@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov](#); [joeo@nezperce.org](#); MCCLINCY Matt; [struck.rodney@deg.state.or.us](#); PETERSON Jenn L; POULSEN Mike; [Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](#); [emadden@ecoisp.com](#); [Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov](#); [jeff.baker@grandronde.org](#); [Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov](#); [Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov](#);

TOEPEL
Kathryn; Rose Longoria; 'Ron Gouguet'; 'Chris Thompson';
'Valerie Lee';
'rgensemer@parametrix.com'
Subject: Agenda for Monday's meeting in Centralia

Hello all,

Attached is the agenda for Monday's Portland Harbor Eco-Human Health Subgroup meeting on Risk Assessment scale and other issues, developed with the great help of Eco and Human Health team members. Our meeting is from 9:30 a.m. until 3:30 p.m. at the Olympic Club in Centralia, Washington, and a call-in line will be available (see agenda).

The primary purpose of our meeting will be to discuss the LWG's March 15 ERA Decision Framework document to agree on the issues we'll raise with the LWG in our April 11 meeting (location TBD) and the alternatives we'll provide for what the LWG has proposed. In preparation for Monday's meeting, please (1) review the attached agenda (pages 1 through 4) and (2) carefully and critically read the LWG's Framework document and come prepared to discuss major issues and alternatives to what the LWG has proposed.

If you have any questions about the meeting, please let me know.

Thanks,
Mikell
(503) 229-6590

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
[<mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 5:02 PM
To: audiehuber@ctuir.com; ANDERSON Jim M;
cunninghame@gorge.net;
exec@envintl.com; howp@critfc.org; jean.lee@envintl.com;
jeremy_buck@fws.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov;
Kawabata.Sylvia@epamail.epa.gov; KEPLER Rick J;
tomd@ctsi.nsn.us;
Lori_Cora/R10/USEPA/US@epamail.epa.gov;
Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov;
Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; joeo@nezperce.org; MCCLINCY
Matt;
struck.rodney@deg.state.or.us; PETERSON Jenn L; POULSEN Mike;
Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov;
Robert.Neely@noaa.gov; emadden@ecoisp.com;
Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov;
Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov; jeff.baker@grandronde.org;
Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; OMEALY Mikell;
Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; TOEPEL Kathryn; Rose Longoria
Subject: Project Meeting to discuss Scale (as in risk)/Facilitator for Framework Discussions

Two quick items before Eric and I check out for Spring Break

1) We have asked Mikell OMealy to facilitate planning for the Eco/HH Subgroup meeting April 3rd (internal meeting on "scale") and

April 11th
(meeting with LWG to discuss the eco framework), and to be
our
facilitator for the April 3rd and April 11 meetings.
Mikell will be
sending out further details & instructions soon, which will
include
reviewing the LWG's Eco Framework Document . Please work
with Mikell
so we can have a productive discussion on the 3rd and start
our
preparations for meeting with LWG on the April 11th.

2) A few details and initial thoughts on April 3rd - The
SCALE Meeting

Location: Centralia, Washington - Olympic Club (Joe - this
is
reserved, right?)

Time: 9:30am to 3:30pm

Mikell will be developing the agenda with input from the
group. Here
are some thoughts about what we need to accomplish.
Basically, we are
trying to come up with an approach to answer those nagging
questions
about the appropriate scale(s) of the risk assessment.

1) What are the options for looking a scale, (ie, site-
wide, point by
point, intermediate). What basis - home range, AOPC,
physical
constraints, habitat, etc. Is the LWG Eco Framework
document the
appropriate vehicle for moving forward?

2) Eco and HH overlap on scale discussions, framework
discussions.

2) Other examples - Ron Gouguet, NOAA discussion on
approaches used at
other sites.

3) What difference do the different approaches make in
determining site
risk? - Parametrix thoughts on looking at different
assumptions for
exposure estimates; ie preliminary risk calculations (via Eco
and HH
hazard quotients, existing TRVs) for example chemicals using
a couple of
example receptors (including human health from fish
consumption pathway).

4) Preparation for the April 11 meeting with the LWG - this
meeting
will focus on the LWG's framework for eco

If time allows, we will also need to have a short discussion
on the PRE
comments.

rose m. longoria
Superfund Projects Manager
Fisheries Resource Management Program
Yakama Nation
PO BOX 151
Toppenish, WA 98948
Phone: 509-865-5121 x6365

This email is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information or attachments that are privileged, attorney work products or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender at rose@yakama.com.